



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/922,666      | 08/07/2001  | Hiroaki Abe          | SON-2183            | 1397             |

23353 7590 09/11/2003

RADER FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC  
LION BUILDING  
1233 20TH STREET N.W., SUITE 501  
WASHINGTON, DC 20036

EXAMINER

FLORES RUIZ, DELMA R

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2828

DATE MAILED: 09/11/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

09/922,666

Applicant(s)

ABE, HIROAKI

Examiner

Delma R. Flores Ruiz

Art Unit

2828

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 June 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 12-16 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.



PAUL IP  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120**

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:  
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  
\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                      4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                      5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) \_\_\_\_\_                      6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

## DETAILED ACTION

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1 – 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 1 and 10 are indefinite and unclear because it has been held that the functional "whereby" statement does not define any structure and accordingly can not serve to distinguish. In re Mason, 114 USPQ 127, 44 CCPA 937 (1957).

The term "smaller" in claim 1, and 10 are a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "smaller" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Okamoto et al (5,787,105).

***Regarding claims 1 and 7,*** Okamoto discloses a laser diode comprising: a first clad layer (see Fig. 2D, Character 24) of a first conductivity type formed on a substrate (see Fig. 2D, Character 21); an active layer (see Fig. 2D, Character 26) formed at an upper layer of said first clad layer; a second clad layer (see Fig. 2D, Character 25) of a second conductivity type formed at an upper layer of said active layer; a third clad layer (see Fig. 2D, Character 29) of the second conductivity type formed at an upper layer of said second clad layer in a current injection stripe region (Column 7, Lines 20 – 36); a contact layer (see Fig. 2D, Character 95 or see Fig. 11, Character 100) formed at an upper layer of said third clad layer; and an electrode (see Fig. 2D, Character 501) formed so as to connected said contact layer; whereby when a first current is injected from said electrode via said contact layer by applying a predetermined voltage to said

Art Unit: 2828

electrode and laser light is emitted from a laser light oscillation region near said active layer, a second current which is smaller than said first current is injected in regions other than said current injection stripe region from said electrode via said second clad layer and currents at ends of said laser light oscillation region are controlled for self pulsation and a degree of self pulsation can be adjusted by a thickness of said third clad layer and width of said current injection stripe region (see Figs. 2 A – 13, Column 5, Lines 47 – 55, Column 6, Lines 13 – 27, Column 7, Lines 20 – 36, Column 8, Lines 56 – 67).

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2, 6, 8 - 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nemoto (6,358,754) in view of Uchida (6,009,112).

**Regarding claims 2 and 6** Nemoto discloses the claimed invention except for saturable absorption region are formed at said ends of the laser light oscillation region for self pulsation and etching stop layer between said second clad layer and third clad layer. It would have been obvious at the time of applicant's invention, to combine Uchida of teaching a saturable absorption region are formed at said ends of the laser light oscillation region for self pulsation and etching stop layer between said second clad layer and third clad layer with laser diode because the etching stop layer acts not only as a layer for terminating the etching effect but also as a passivation layer for preventing oxidation of the cladding.

**Regarding claims 8 – 9** Nemoto discloses the claimed invention except for thickness of said third clad layer is in range is  $0.1\mu\text{m}$  to  $0.7\mu\text{m}$  and a width of said current injection stripe region is in a range of  $1.5\mu\text{m}$  to  $5\mu\text{m}$ . It would have been obvious at the time of applicant's invention, to combine Uchida of teaching a thickness of said third clad layer is in range is  $0.1\mu\text{m}$  to  $0.7\mu\text{m}$  and a width of said current injection stripe region is in a range of  $1.5\mu\text{m}$  to  $5\mu\text{m}$  with laser diode because it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to thickness of said third clad layer is in range is  $0.1\mu\text{m}$  to  $0.7\mu\text{m}$  and a width of said current injection stripe region is in a range of  $1.5\mu\text{m}$  to  $5\mu\text{m}$ , since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or working ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

Claims 3 – 5 and 10 – 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okamoto in view of Nemoto (6,358,754).

**Regarding claims 3 – 5,** Okamoto discloses a second clad layer comprises a AlGaInP based material and a material of said electrode at a portion contacting said second clad layer comprises titanium and a electrode comprises stacked layer of titanium, platinum and gold and formed so as to contact said second clad layer and contact layer from the titanium side. It would have been obvious at the time of applicant's invention, to combine Nemoto of teaching a second clad layer comprises a AlGaInP based material and a material of said electrode at a portion contacting said second clad layer comprises titanium and a electrode comprises stacked layer of titanium, platinum and gold and formed so as to contact said second clad layer and contact layer from the titanium side with laser diode because it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to second clad layer comprises a AlGaInP based material and a material of said electrode at a portion contacting said second clad layer comprises titanium and a electrode comprises stacked layer of titanium, platinum and gold and formed so as to contact said second clad layer and contact layer from the titanium side, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

**Regarding claim 10 and 11** Okamoto discloses a semiconductor light emitting device comprising a first clad layer (see Fig. 2D, Character 24) of a first conductivity type formed on a substrate (see Fig. 2D, Character 21); an active layer (see Fig. 2D, Character 26) formed at an upper layer of said first clad layer; a second clad layer (see Fig. 2D, Character 25) of a second conductivity type formed at an upper layer of said active layer; a third clad layer (see Fig. 2D, Character 29) of the second conductivity type formed at an upper layer of said second clad layer in a current injection stripe region (Column 7, Lines 20 – 36); a contact layer (see Fig. 2D, Character 95 or see Fig. 11, Character 100) formed at an upper layer of said third clad layer; and an electrode (see Fig. 2D, Character 501) formed so as to connected said contact layer; whereby when a first current is injected from said electrode via said contact layer by applying a predetermined voltage to said electrode and laser light is emitted from a laser light oscillation region near said active layer, a second current which is smaller than said first current is injected in regions other than said current injection stripe region from said electrode via said second clad layer and currents at ends of said laser light oscillation region are controlled for self pulsation and a degree of self pulsation can be adjusted by a thickness of said third clad layer and width of said current injection stripe region (see Figs. 2 A – 13, Column 5, Lines 47 – 55, Column 6, Lines 13 – 27, Column 7, Lines 20 – 36, Column 8, Lines 56 - 67). It would have been obvious at the time of applicant's invention, to combine Nemoto of teaching a plurality diode lasers with laser diode because it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time



the invention was made to plurality diode lasers, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8.

### ***Response to Arguments***

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 - 11 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### ***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Delma R. Flores Ruiz whose telephone number is (703) 308-6238. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Ip can be reached on (703) 308-3098. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7724 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 2828

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-3431.



Delma R. Flores Ruiz  
Examiner  
Art Unit 2828



Paul Ip  
Supervisor Patent Examiner  
Art Unit 2828

DRFR/PI  
August 25, 2003